

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 2/1/2021 ORM Number: SAS-2018-00072 Associated JDs: SAS-2018-00072

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: GA City: Pooler County/Parish/Borough: Chatham Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 32.0881 Longitude -81.2709

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- □ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- □ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³						
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Tri	Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):						
(a))(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination		
N//	Α.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):

(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
Pond	0.153	acre(s)	(a)(3) Lake/pond or impoundment of a jurisdictional water contributes surface water flow directly or indirectly to an	This feature appears to have been constructed in an adjacent wetland. There is an outlet structure through the pond's impoundment that directly contributes surface water flow to a large adjacent wetland system that eventually drains to the Savannah River in a typical year.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):						
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	e (a)(3	3) Criteria	Rationale for (Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
		(a)(1	1) water in	а		
		typic	cal year.			

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):						
(a)(4) Name (a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination			
Wetland A	6.676	acre(s)	(a)(4) Wetland abuts an (a)(1)- (a)(3) water.	This wetland meets the definition of an adjacent wetland as it directly abuts an $(a)(2)$ water.		

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$: ⁴						
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination		
Wetland B	0.545	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non- adjacent wetland.	Wetland B is not adjacent to any $(a)(1)-(a)(3)$ waters as defined by the NWPR. This wetland does not abut any $(a)(1)-(a)(3)$ waters, is not inundated by any $(a)(1)-(a)(3)$ waters, is physically separated from all $(a)(1)-(a)(3)$ waters and does not have a direct hydrologic surface connection to any $(a)(1)-(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year.		

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Stuart Sligh, Sligh Environmental Consultants, Inc.

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: N/A or describe rationale for insufficiency (including partial insufficiency).

- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
- □ Photographs: Select. Title(s) and/or date(s).
- □ Corps site visit(s) conducted on: Date(s).
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): SAS-2018-00072; March 2, 2018
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: *provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.*
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Chatham County
- USFWS NWI maps: NWI Map, Armstong Tract; October 20, 2020
- USGS topographic maps: USGS Map, Armstong Tract; Ocotber 20, 2020

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

- B. Typical year assessment(s): The data forms provided by Sligh Environmental Consultants, Inc. indicate that a wetland delineation for a portion of Armstrong Tract was performed on 10/30/2017. Using the Antecedent Precipitation Tool Version 1.0, which used rainfall data from nearby weather stations, we have determined the delineation was conducted during the wet season (WebWIMP H2O Balance) and that "Normal" rainfall conditions were present for the nearest weather stations on the date of the wetland delineation.
- C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A or provide additional discussion as appropriate.